June, 2003 as compared to previous year's total income of Rs. 24,690.34 crore, in spite of interest savings of Rs. 344.90 crore by RBI; and

(b) if so, what are the reasons for this decline and what is the anticipated income for the year ending 31st March, 2004?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI ANANDRAO VITHOBA ADSUL): (a) Yes, Sir. The gross income of Reserve Bank of India (RBI) has declined by Rs. 1504.70 crore during 2002-03 (July-June) over the previous year.

(b) The fall in income of RBI from domestic sources was due to fall in domestic assets and lower interest rates. The fall in income from foreign sources was due to reduction in international interest rates. According to RBI, it is very difficult to anticipate income for the year ending June 2004 at this stage, as it will depend on several factors.

**Nationalised Banks**

2215. SHRI PRASANTA CHATTERJEE: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) what is the number of Nationalised Banks in each State; and

(b) what is the number of Urban and Rural Banks state-wise?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI ANANDRAO VITHOBA ADSUL): (a) and (b) The details of Public Sector Banks, Urban Co-operative Banks and Regional Rural Banks, as on 30th June 2003, State-wise are as under:

| State | No. of Public Sector Banks having presence in | No. of Urban Co-operative Banks | No. of Regional Rural Banks |
|---|---|---|---|
| Andhra Pradesh | 27 | 169 | 16 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 5 | — | 1 |
| Assam | 19 | 13 | 5 |
| Bihar | 22 | 9 | 16 |
| Delhi | 27 | 19 | - |
| Chhattisgarh | 22 | 14 | 5 |
| Goa | 22 | 7 | - |

| State | No. of Public Sector Banks having presence in | No. of Urban Co-operative Banks | No. of Regional Rural Banks |
|---|---|---|---|
| Gujarat | 27 | 362 | 9 |
| Haryana | 26 | 8 | 4 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 19 | 5 | 2 |
| Jammu & Kashmir | 19 | 4 | 3 |
| Jharkhand | 21 | 1 | 6 |
| Karnataka | 27 | 324 | 13 |
| Kerala | 25 | 65 | 2 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 27 | 77 | 19 |
| Maharashtra | 27 | 670 | 10 |
| Manipur | 10 | 5 | 1 |
| Maghalaya | 14 | 3 | 1 |
| Mizoram | 8 | 1 | 1 |
| Nagaland | 9 | 1 | 1 |
| Orissa | 24 | 19 | 9 |
| Punjab | 23 | 5 | 5 |
| Rajasthan | 27 | 44 | 14 |
| Sikkim | 10 | 1 | - |
| Tamil Nadu | 27 | 136 | 3 |
| Tripura | 13 | 1 | 1 |
| Uttaranchal | 21 | 7 | 4 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 27 | 78 | 36 |
| West Bengal | 27 | 55 | 9 |

**Loan Repayment Liabilities of Rajasthan**

2216. SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA:
SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD:

Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether against recommendations by Government of Rajasthan to relax loan repayment liabilities and relief in debt liability in context of Gadgil Formula, Government have plans to act according to the said recommendations;